Some ecological attributes of malarial vector *Anopheles superpictus* Grassi in endemic foci in southeastern Iran
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**Objective:** To determine the bionomics and susceptibility status of the malarial vector *Anopheles superpictus* (An. superpictus) to different insecticides in the Sistan—Baluchestan province which has the highest malarial prevalence in Iran.

**Methods:** Different sampling methods, in addition to scoring abdominal conditions, were used to define the seasonal activity and endo/exophilic behavior of this species. In addition, the standard WHO susceptibility tests were applied on adult field strains.

**Results:** Most adult mosquitoes were collected from outdoor shelters. The peak of seasonal activity of *An. superpictus* occurred at the end of autumn. Most larvae were collected from natural and permanent breeding places with full sunlight and no vegetation. Blood feeding activities occurred around midnight. Compared with the abdominal conditions of adult mosquitoes collected indoors, the abdominal conditions of adult mosquitoes collected outdoors were gravid and semigravid. This species was suspected to be resistant to DDT, but was susceptible to other insecticides.

**Conclusions:** *An. superpictus* was present in almost all outdoor shelters, and the ratios of gravid, semigravid/unfed, and freshly fed confirmed that this species had a higher tendency to rest outdoors than indoors. This behavior can protect *An. superpictus* from indoor residual spraying in this malarious area. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the susceptibility status of *An. superpictus* in Southeastern Iran. We do not suggest the use of DDT for indoor residual spraying in southeast Iran.
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**1. Introduction**

To date, malaria is a major endemic infectious disease in southern and southeastern foci of Iran, including the provinces of Sistan—Baluchestan (Sistan va Baluchestan), Hormozgan, and Kerman[1]. Sistan—Baluchestan province, with an unstable malaria pattern[2], has reported to account 42%—60% of the total malaria cases in the country[3], with two seasonal peaks, mostly in the spring and autumn[2].

Indoor residual spraying and long–lasting insecticide–treated mosquito nets have been applied as mosquito control strategies in the national malaria elimination program in this part of the country[4,5]. Six anopheline species have been identified as malarial vectors in this area: *Anopheles culicifacies*, *Anopheles stephensi*, *Anopheles dthali*, *Anopheles fluviatilis*, *Anopheles pulcherrimus*, and *Anopheles superpictus* (An. superpictus)[2].

*An. superpictus* Grassi, 1899 (Diptera: Culicidae), has been...
recognized as the human malarial vector in the southern Palearctic region, central and southern Europe\textsuperscript{6–12}, middle eastern countries, India, northern Africa, and Russia as well as new republic states separated from the old Soviet Union\textsuperscript{[13,14]}. Moreover, it is the main malarial vector widely found in Iran\textsuperscript{[14,15–18]}, and previous studies have indicated its both exophagic and endophilic behavior\textsuperscript{[19]}. Although some studies in recent years have shown that An. superpictus was susceptible to DDT, malathion, and lambdacyhalothrin\textsuperscript{[20]}, the susceptibility status of this species in Southeastern Iran has not been reported.

Therefore, this study was performed to determine the ecology and susceptibility of An. superpictus to the WHO-recommended insecticides for achieving the appropriate malarial control in southeast Iran.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study area

The investigation was conducted in the Sarbaz district of the Sistan–Baluchestan province, where malaria is still endemic (Figure 1)\textsuperscript{[2,21,22]}.

Sarbaz is geographically located in the southeast of the Sistan–Baluchestan province near the Pakistan border and covers an area of 11,500 km\textsuperscript{2} between 60°45′–63°20′ E longitude and 26°–27°N latitude. Its total population was approximately 144,442 in 2012\textsuperscript{[22]}. Sarbaz district has one of the highest malarial incidences in the province, which are affected by two major factors; foreign disease reservoirs (several patients identified in this district were Afghan refugees and Pakistani immigrants)\textsuperscript{[3,22]}, and subtropical climate (suitable for vector activity and seasonal malaria transmission)\textsuperscript{[2]}.

Fieldwork was conducted in the Pishin region that has been a major malarious zone in the Sarbaz district in recent years. This area is located 20 km away from the Pakistan border and has been reported to have many imported malaria cases. Pishin is a plateau, with most of the land being used for agricultural purposes. Irrigation of farms is through water from rivers, deep wells, cement pools, and the Pishin dam; all these water bodies provide suitable mosquito breeding sites (Sarbaz Health Center, unpublished data, 2012). Three villages (Lad, Laksar, and Soudan) were randomly selected for the monthly entomological survey based on the occurrence of malaria transmission and the presence of An. Superpictus, as reported previously.

2.2. Entomological survey

Entomological evaluations were performed monthly from January to December 2012 (over a 12-month period), according to the methods suggested by the World Health Organization (WHO)\textsuperscript{[23]}. Adult mosquitoes were collected by indoor pyrethrum space–pray collections (spray sheet collection or total catch), night biting catch on human and cow, inlet and outlet window trap, and shelter pit trap. Mosquitoes were caught early in the morning in eight fixed shelters: four human dwellings and four sheep or cattle sheds in each village. Hand catching (manual aspirators) was performed in outdoor resting places: three pit shelters and one well. The number of female mosquitoes per dwelling/shelter was evaluated as the adult density\textsuperscript{[2]}.

In addition, the shelters details, including temperature, humidity, date, and time of collection were recorded on related forms.

The collected female mosquitoes were classified based on abdominal conditions in each sampling technique, according to the WHO procedure\textsuperscript{[23]}. This process was performed to evaluate the endo/exophilic behavior. Gravid (G) and/or semigravid (SG) condition of the female abdomen were determine as resting stages, and females with unfed (U) and freshly fed (F) guts were demonstrative of seeking stages. The ratio G+CG/U+F was used to determine the tendency to rest in or outdoors\textsuperscript{[24]}.

The dipping method was used for larvae collection from water sources as breeding places. Density of larvae was calculated using the mean number of larvae per 10 dippers. Next, the larvae were preserved in lactophenol and mounted on permanent microscope slides using de Faure’s medium\textsuperscript{[18]}. Adult mosquitoes as well as the third and fourth instar larvae were identified using morphological characters as standard keys\textsuperscript{[25]}. In addition, physical breeding site characteristics, including habitat temperature, depth, type (permanent or temporary, natural or artificial), water situation (stagnant or running, clear or turbid), vegetation (with or without vegetation), sunlight situation (full or partial sunlight or shade), and substrate type (mud, sand, rock, or cement) were visually recorded on related forms or by using handheld field equipment.

2.3. Adult susceptibility tests

Susceptibility tests were performed according to the WHO standard methods\textsuperscript{[26]}. The insecticide impregnated papers provided by WHO were used as follows: DDT 4%, malathion 5%, propoxur 0.1%, lambdacyhalothrin 0.05%, permethrin 0.75%, and deltamethrin 0.05%.

An. superpictus larvae were collected from different
breeding places. They were transferred to an insectarium with 25°C–29°C temperature and 65%–80% relative humidity. The emerging adults (2 to 3-day old and sugar fed) were tested. Female mosquitoes were exposed to insecticides for 1 h (exposure time). At each exposure time, 20–25 adults were tested. Their mortality rate was recorded after a 24-h recovery period using cotton pads soaked with a 10%–glucose solution. Insecticide exposure as well as recovery period occurred in the insectarium[1,2].

The results of study were considered acceptable if mortality in the control group was less than 5% and rejected if the mortality in the control group was more than 20%; mortality rate between 5%–20% was corrected using Abbott’s formula[2].

3. Results

3.1. Entomological survey

Table 1 shows the relative densities of _An. superpictus_ female mosquitoes collected using the four methods. Most mosquitoes were collected by hand catching (using an aspirator and flashlight) from artificial outdoor places (shelter pits). Pyrethrum space spray in animal sheds was the second commonly used sampling method. A few quantities of mosquitoes were collected using the inlet window–trap method.

**Table 1**

Relative density of _An. superpictus_ sampled by 4 methods, southeastern Iran, 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Pyrethrum space-spray</th>
<th>Outdoor shelters</th>
<th>Inlet window trap</th>
<th>Outlet window trap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>15.98</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.1. Seasonal and monthly pattern

_An. superpictus_ showed maximum abundance at the end of autumn. It had low activity during the hot summer. The results showed two activity peaks in March and December. Since January, it’s remained active throughout the 12 months, peaking in March and then slowly decreasing. Its relative density again increased from September, with a second peak in December (Figure 2).

**Figure 2.** Monthly prevalence of _An. superpictus_, southeastern Iran, 2012.

3.1.2. Resting behavior

The resting behavior of female mosquitoes was evaluated according to the abdominal conditions. The ratio of resting stages to seeking stages (G, SG/U, and F) for _An. superpictus_ revealed that it possessed behavior more exophilic (1.34) than endophilic (0.33) (Table 2). Furthermore, abdominal conditions of _An. superpictus_ determined using window trap methods showed that most female mosquitoes had a greater tendency to rest outdoors than indoors.

3.1.3. Blood feeding activity

This research was performed in November when the second malaria peak occurred. The biting activity of _An. superpictus_ on humans started from sunset to sunrise but did not show continuous activity during the entire night (Figure 3). On an average, seven _An. superpictus_ were collected on human bait. The peak of landing occurred approximately at midnight, followed by short peaks after sunset.

**Figure 3.** Biting activities of _An. superpictus_ during night on human, southeastern Iran, November, 2012.

3.1.4. Larval habitat characteristics

During monthly sampling, _An. superpictus_ were collected from 19 larval breeding sites throughout the year, except in July when the larvae could not be found in breeding places. Larvae were found in different natural and artificial habitats; for instance, water leakages from farms irrigation cannels, stream margins, river beds and with less density in marshes, cement pools, water leaks from pipeline fractures, and ponds due to rain (Figure 4). Temperature of breeding places during larval sampling ranged from 10°C to 29°C and between 10 and 50-cm depth, with a pH of 7.2–7.8. This
species were mostly caught in a habitat without vegetation, having clear and stagnant water, full sunlight, and mud beds (Table 3).

**3.2. Adult susceptibility tests**

Susceptibility status of adult mosquitoes was determined using the WHO standard criteria. At least 80 mosquitoes were tested per bioassay. According to the criteria, 98%–100% mortality indicated susceptibility, 80%–97% mortality indicated suspected resistance, <80% indicated resistance[1,2]; for 20–79 mosquitoes tested, 98%–100% mortality indicated susceptibility, 95%–97% indicated suspected resistance (requires verification of resistance with other methods), and <95% indicated resistance[27].

The results of susceptibility tests showed that the field strains of *An. superpictus* were resistant to DDT. Mortality rate with this insecticide was 56.00±4.54. This species was susceptible to malathion, propoxur, lambdacyhalothrin, deltamethrin, and permethrin (Table 4).

**Table 3**

Physical habitat characteristics of *An. superpictus* larvae, southeastern Iran, 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Water situation</th>
<th>Vegetation</th>
<th>Sunlight situation</th>
<th>Substrate type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clases</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Tem</td>
<td>Nat</td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>Sta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>71.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1: Percent of collected larvae.

Per: Permanent; Tem: Temporary; Nat: Natural; Art: Artificial; Sta: Stagnant; Run: Running; Cle: Clear; Tur: Turbid; veg: With vegetation; No veg: Without vegetation; Ful: Full sunlight; Par: Partial sunlight; Sha: Shaded; Mud: Mud; San: Sand; Roc: Rock or cement.

**Table 4**

The mortality rate of *An. superpictus* females exposed to diagnostic dose of insecticides southeastern Iran, 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>Mortality (%)</th>
<th>Replicates</th>
<th>No. of mosquitoes tested</th>
<th>No. of dead</th>
<th>Mortality (%)</th>
<th>Error bar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>56.00±4.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propoxur</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>98.74±0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambdacyhalothrin</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permethrin</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1.30±1.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4. Discussion**

*An. superpictus* Grassi has been confirmed as the malarial vector in Asia, Europe, and northern Africa. This species is present in approximately all parts of Iran and has already been recorded as the main malarial vector in southeast Iran[1]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first formal entomological study on the ecology of *An. superpictus* and its susceptibility to different insecticides in the Sarbaz district, southeastern Iran, near the Pakistan border.

Although *An. superpictus* were collected from all the places by using different methods, most mosquitoes were collected from shelter pits. Our data agree with those of previous studies on the ecology of malaria vectors in south Iran. These studies have reported that this species is mainly found in pit shelters and can be collected using different techniques[1]; However, the results of this study differ from those of studies performed in northwest Iran, which have described this species being collected only by the hand catching method from indoor places[28].

The resting behavior of female mosquitoes showed that this species had a greater tendency to rest outdoors than indoors. This behavior is representative of an effective vector. These results are consistent with those of some studies that have described both the exophagic and exophilic behavior for this species[14].

The results showed that the relative density of *An. superpictus* increased from September and peaked in December and then in March. In the other words, the maximum density was reported at the end of autumn and then at the end of winter. These findings are inconsistent with those of a previous study, which described that the peak of *An. superpictus* activity is from July to September[14]. Perhaps this variation is because of the climate difference in the study area. The weather in the Sarbaz district is very hot during July to August, which is not suitable for mosquito reproduction.

Although the biting activity of *An. superpictus* was observed from sunset to sunrise, it did not show continuous activity during the entire night. The landing peak was observed at about midnight, followed by short peaks after sunset. This result is relatively similar to that reported by studies, which mentioned that the landing peak happened at early night (unpublished data, Iranshahr Health Research Station, 1990).

The larvae were collected from different natural and artificial habitats, especially water leakages. The temperature of breeding places was in the range of 10–29 °C and depth between 10–50 cm, with a pH of 7.2–7.8. Larvae were mostly caught from a permanent habitat lacking vegetation, having...
clear and stagnant water, full sunlight, and mud beds. These results are generally consistent with those of previous studies on larval habitats in southern and western Iran that have reported similar details with the characteristics explained above[18,29,30]. However, in southern Iran, researchers have reported that this species prefers breeding places with sandy beds, having a temperature of 17–30 °C[30]. Moreover, a dissimilar result was reported by a study conducted in western Iran, in which larvae were frequently collected from flowing water[30], with the temperature of larval habitats being 19–32 °C[31].

By applying the WHO criteria, the results of susceptibility tests demonstrated that the field samples of An. superpictus were susceptible to malathion, propoxur, deltamethrin, lambdacyhalothrin, and permethrin. Some studies have shown the susceptibility of this species to dieldrin, lambdacyhalothrin, and malathion[20]. Despite high coverage of IRS application in southeast Iran, there are still no reports on resistance or tolerance of An. superpictus to malathion, propoxur, lambdacyhalothrin, permethrin, and deltamethrin, but An. stephensi was reported to be tolerant to deltamethrin in this part of the country[31]. Our study showed that An. superpictus was resistant to DDT. In contrast, an investigation in western Iran showed that this species was susceptible to DDT[31]. Our result confirms what is reported in the literature that An. superpictus was resistant to DDT in Uzbekistan[32]. Thus far, three genotypes X, Y, and Z within An. superpictus were identified in Iran. The sympatric Y and Z genotypes are limited to the populations’ resident in southeast Iran, whereas the X genotype has high geographical distribution and is found in most parts of Iran[14]. Difference in susceptibility to DDT between western and southeast Iran may be because of different genotypes of An. superpictus. Therefore, further studies are needed to identify the relationship between species composition and insecticide susceptibility in the study area.

Because of IRS application, at least twice per year, in southeast Iran, careful survey is necessary to assess insecticides, particularly pyrethroids. Furthermore, authors do not suggest DDT application; moreover, periodic use of pyrethroids and carbamates in this part of the country has been recommended.
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Comments

Background

Southeastern Iran with subtropical climate and various breeding places is still an endemic foci of malaria. An. superpictus Grassi as a main malaria vector had been identified in this area. This exophilus species is thought to be very important for maintenance of malaria in the area. This research has an updated information about the ecology and susceptibility status of this species.

Research frontiers

This research focuses on the evaluation of the seasonal activity, blood feeding activity, resting behavior as well as the larval habitats of An. superpictus and describes some attributes of its ecology. Also its susceptibility status to different insecticides is another important result.

Related reports

Several studies have been done about the malaria vectors in the world and Iran but it seems that the ecology and insecticide resistance of An. superpictus and similar species which are not more emphasized in literature should be considered.

Innovations and breakthroughs

In addition to updated information about the ecology of this species as far as I know this is the first formal report about the resistance of An. superpictus to DDT in southeastern Iran.

Applications

Data presented in the current research indicates the resistance of An. superpictus to DDT as well as its seasonal and blood feeding activity that can be used in the vector control program.

Peer review

New and updated information about ecology and insecticides resistance status of An. superpictus as one of the main six malaria vectors in Iran is valuable. The results can be useful for the vector control policy in the national malaria elimination program. It is more cleared all new data should be mentioned for improving the status of malaria control in Iran.
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